

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,986	01/15/2002	Kohshi Yoshimura	000526A	3531
23850	7590 08/07/2003			
ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP			EXAMINER	
1725 K STRE SUITE 1000	ET, NW	SPERTY, ARDEN B		
	ON, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	10
			DATE MAILED: 08/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>≥</i> ∪,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/044,986	YOSHIMURA ET AL				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Arden B. Sperty	1775				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 21 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperture of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	,				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)∏ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	will be entered a wor appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disappr	oved by the Exami	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:						
S. Patent and Trademark Office						



Continuation of 5. Applicant argues that the reference's teaching of more metal particles at or near and not engulfed in the surface of th uncured resin is not analogous to the claimed invention where tip ends of metal particles are impaled and forced into the resin molded surface. This argument is unconvincing because the particles which are fully embedded must, by definition, have all ends (including any tip ends) forced into and engulfed by the resin surface. The partially embedded metal particles are understood to have only a portion, or tip, embedded in the resin. Therefore, no structural difference is seen between Applicant's claimed invention and that of the prior art. If Applicant intends a particular particle shape, size, orientation or position within a resin layer the claim should be drafted as such, evidenc should be shown for unexpected results for such a configuration, and it should be explained in clear detail how such an article is made and how such an article differs from the prior art.

CATHY LAM

('athy tum